



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*BT*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,066	11/07/2001	Scott L. Diamond	3936-011568	3883
7590	01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
Barbara E. Johnson 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			LAM, ANN Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Interview Summary**

Application No.	101036,066	Applicant(s)
Examiner	Lam	Group Art Unit 1641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ann Lam  
(2) Barbara Johnson

Date of Interview Jan. 19

(3) Gen Wood  
(4) Scott Diamond

Type:  Telephonic +  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 10 (and all the claims.)

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's attorneys suggested that amendments to overcome the prior art and to clarify the claimed invention. The proposed amendment regard ~~re~~ two separate devices with the second device generating the sample aerosol and simultaneously delivering reagents to the multiple dots of the array. Examiner stated that a new search and consideration will be given (should the proposed amendments be submitted in a RCE).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

  
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.